JUN 1[illegible]
17/4
TO THE COCHIN LEGISLATIVE COU[illegible]

COCHIN LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL PROCEEDINGS.

Kumbham Session of 1103.

(OFFICIAL REPORT)

18th of Kumbham 1103.

Thursday, the

1st of March 1928.

The Council assembled in the Town Hall, Ernakulam, at 11-30 a. m. for the fourth meeting of the Legislative Session of Kumbham 1103, when the Diwan of Cochin presided. The following Members were absent:—Messrs. C. Achyuta Menon, V. K. Aravindaksha Menon, Lonappan Chakkola, P. Kunju Muhammad Haji, and Poulo Mampilli, Rao Sahib Mr. C. Matthai, Messrs. K. T. Mathew, K S. Raina Ayyar and A. K. Thoma.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS.

Resale of a tobacco shop in Ollur.

176. Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(1) whether a resale of the Ollur B class tobacco shop was held on 16th Kanni 1101; if so, at what time and when was fresh license given to the new purchaser;

(2) did the new shopman purchase tobacco from any of the A class shops in Trichur or at Kanjani; if so, at what hour;

(3) how far is Kanjani from Trichur and Ollur;

(4) when did the Inspector seize tobacco from the Ollur shopman; did the Inspector proceed to Kanjani;

(5) who were all present in the Kanjani A shop when the Inspector arrived; who accompanied the Inspector to Kanjani;

(6) was the counter-foil of the pass alleged to have been issued at Kanjani found not filled up;

(7) is Krishnan Nayar, the A class shopman of Kanjani, a relative of Parameswaran Nayar, a B class shopman of Trichur;

(8) did Krishnan Nayar apply for sanction to take criminal proceedings against the Inspector; if so, what was the report of the Superintendent to the Diwan about this matter; did Mr. Krishnan Nayar put in any complaint; if so, when and what was it;

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

(9) was any criminal complaint lodged by the Inspector about this matter in the Magistrate's court; did the Inspector apply for the services of a senior Inspector for the conduct of the case and for his examination; if so, was the request granted; had the Inspector to engage a vakil of his own accord; was any aminadar without uniform watching the case in court;

(10) was any departmental enquiry conducted by the Superintendent; if so, when and from whom were statements recorded;

(11) when did the proceedings in the Magistrate's court terminate; does the Magistrate refer to the conduct of the Inspector in the matter of the investigation; if so, what are his observations;

(12) did the Superintendent report to the Diwan about the conduct of the Inspector; if so, when and what are the reports;

(13) what are the Proceedings of the Diwan in the matter; and

(14) what was the pay of the Inspector and what is his pay after reversion?

Mr. V. B. Vaidyanatha Ayyar.—(1) and (2). No answer is possible in the absence of particulars as to the number of the shop and other particulars.

(3) Eleven miles.

(4) to (14). The questions are not clear.

Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—Is there not a shop by the name of Ollur B class shop in Ollur?

Mr. V. B. Vaidyanatha Ayyar.—There are more than one shop by that denomination.

Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—How many shops are there by that denomination?

Mr. V. B. Vaidyanatha Ayyar.—I think there are two.

Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—Where are they situated?

Mr. V. B. Vaidyanatha Ayyar.—I cannot say now. Shops in Ollur are called Ollur shops.

Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—There are two B class shops in Ollur?

Mr. V. B. Vaidyanatha Ayyar.—There are two B class shops in Ollur with different numbers.

President.—Do not those shops really deal in tobacco?

Mr. V. B. Vaidyanatha Ayyar.—Yes.

Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—One shop is known as Ollur B class shop and the other as Panamkuttichira B class shop. Is that not a fact?

Mr. V. B. Vaidyanatha Ayyar.—No.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

JUN 19 2020
1714
COCHIN LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—From the answers to sub-divisions 4 to 14, am I to understand that the Government is not aware of Mr. C. P. Govinda Menon or the criminal case?

Mr. V. B. Vaidyanatha Ayyar.—Government is not aware. We cannot divine from the questions what was in the mind of the Hon'ble Member.

Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—Whether there are many cases of this sort in the Department to mislead the identity?

Mr. V. B. Vaidyanatha Ayyar.—It is not a case of mistake. The question must be clear before a definite answer might be given.

Construction of a road from the Pudukkad Railway Station to the Nammanikkara Village.

177. Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar.—Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) the number of petitions they have received from the people of [illegible] in Nammanikkara village for the construction of a road from the Pudukkad Railway Station to their village;

(b) whether the Government gave *yadast* to the petitioners that the work will be taken up next year; if so, when was the last reply given and what action have they taken regarding the same?

Mr. I. Raman Menon.—(a) Three petitions.

(b) The last reply was on 16th November 1925. Action is being taken to see if the lands required for the road can be had free. The enquiry is not complete.

Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar.—The latter portion of (b) is not answered.

President.—That is a question which requires a definite reply.

Mr. I. Raman Menon.—A reply was given to that effect.

Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar.—To which of the petitions was that reply given?

Mr. I. Raman Menon.—They are all treated together, as the subject matter of all the petitions are one and the same.

Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar.—To which of the petitions was this reply given?

Mr. I. Raman Menon.—All these three petitions refer to the same subject, and so one order was passed for all the three together.

President.—All the petitions were considered together, and therefore one *yadast* was found sufficient.

Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar.—Was the *yadast* issued only after the petitions were received?

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly